SHAPING OBESITY CARE WITH EVIDENCE-BASED, PERSONALISED TREATMENTS

Introduction

Obesity is a chronic, relapsing disease that continues to present a serious global public health challenge, with almost 60% of adults in Europe either living with obesity or pre-obesity (overweight). The morbidity and mortality risk associated with obesity is extensive, and drives increased incidence of diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular (CV) disease, chronic respiratory diseases, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, chronic kidney disease, musculoskeletal complications, depression and other mental health conditions, and a risk of a range of cancers.

Excess adipose tissue decreases life expectancy by 5 years compared with healthy weight individuals, and contributes to 1.2 million deaths (13% of the total) in Europe every year, making it the 4th biggest cause of mortality. However, based on current projections, no country in Europe is expected to reach the target of halting the rise in obesity by 2025.1 The pathological effects of obesity are compounded by stigmatisation, which leads to people living with the disease to decrease their healthcare engagement, further increasing medical risks. This emphasises the need to use all available treatment options to address this disease. This article will provide an overview of the currently available treatment options for obesity in Europe, the need for therapy personalisation and current treatment approaches.

Current anti-obesity treatments

Current anti-obesity treatments can broadly be divided into injectable and oral medications. Injectable medicines include glucagon-like peptide (GLP)-1 receptor agonists such as liraglutide and semaglutide, and the dual GLP-1 and glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide (GDIP) receptor agonist, tirzepatide.³⁻⁵ A further injectable option is the melanocortin-4 receptor (MC4R) agonist, setmelanotide for patients with Bardet-Biedl syndrome, loss-of-function biallelic pro-opiomelanocortin deficiency or biallelic leptin receptor deficiency.⁶ Oral medications include the fixed-dose, extended-release combination of naltrexone and bupropion (NB-ER), and the gastric and pancreatic lipase inhibitor, orlistat.⁷⁸ The oral, dual agents (phentermine/topiramate) are also available in a limited number of European countries.⁸ Each of these medication classes is associated with specific benefits resulting from the effective management of obesity including weight loss, the promotion of satiety, and increased energy suppression, amongst others (Table 1). Like all medication, use of these treatments in patients also requires consideration of the variety of potential side effects and contraindications (Table 1).

Impact of anti-obesity treatments on CV outcomes

A range of studies have investigated the effects of anti-obesity treatments on CV risk factors and outcomes. The GLP-1 receptor agonists were investigated in three studies. In the SELECT trial of 17,604 patients with CV disease (CVD), a body mass index ½27 kg/m² and without diabetes, semaglutide versus placebo reduced the composite incidence of death due to CV events (CVE), non-fatal myocardial infarction, or non-fatal stroke by 20% (hazard ratio [HR]: 0.80 [95% CI: 0.72, 0.90; P<0.001) at a mean follow-up of 39.8 months.¹¹ Consistent with this, in the SUSTAIN-6 trial semaglutide versus placebo reduced the risk of non-fatal stroke events (HR: 0.61 [95% CI: 0.39, 0.99]; P=0.04) and overall CVE (HR: 0.74 [95% CI: 0.58, 0.95]; p<0.001) in patients with type 2 diabetes.¹¹ Finally, in the LEADER trial, liraglutide significantly reduced the risk of major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) in patients with type 2 diabetes and at least one cardiovascular condition compared with placebo (HR: 0.87 [95% CI: 0.78, 0.97]; p=0.01 [superiority]).¹²

Currently, only the SURMOUNT-1 trial has assessed the CV impact of the dual GLP-1 and GDIP receptor agonist, tirzepatide.¹³ This study found that tirzepatide significantly reduced key cardiometabolic risk factors, including waist circumference, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, and fasting insulin levels in patients with obesity compared with placebo.¹³ Additionally, safety outcomes suggested that the incidence of MACE was similar compared to placebo.¹³ The impact of tirzepatide on CV outcomes will be further investigated in the Phase III SURMOUNT-MMO trial of patients with established CVD.¹⁴



Table. Benefit-risk profile of currently available anti-obesity pharmacology treatments

Treatment	Benefits beyond weight loss³-8	Common side effects ^{3-8,35}	Contraindications ^{3-8, 35}	CV risk ^{7, 10-13, 15, 18, 19, 21}
Semaglutide and Liraglutide	Promotes satiety Improved glycaemic control	Gastrointestinal disorders: Nausea, Diarrhoea, Constipation Vomiting	Hypersensitivity to the active substance or to any of the formulation excipients	Semaglutide: Reduced risk of death due to (vs placebo): CVE Non-fatal MI Non-fatal stroke Liraglutide: Reduced risk of MACE (vs placebo)
Tirzepatide	Appetite suppression Improved glycaemic control	Gastrointestinal Disorders, mostly mild or moderate in severity. The incidence of nausea, diarrhoea and vomiting was higher during the dose escalation period and decreased over time	Hypersensitivity to the active substance or to any of the formulation excipients	Reduced cardiometabolic risk factors including waist circumference, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, and fasting insulin levels Incidence of MACE similar to placebo
Setmelanotide	Appetite suppression	Hyperpigmentation disorders Injection site reactions Nausea Headache	Patients without confirmed genetic diagnoses of POMC deficiency, PCSK1 deficiency, LEPR deficiency, or Bardet-Biedl Syndrome Hypersensitivity to setmelanotide or any of its components	No adverse CV effects or complications
Naltrexone/ bupropion ER	Appetite suppression	Nausea Constipation Vomiting Dizziness Dry mouth	Hypersensitivity to the active substance or to any of the formulation excipients Uncontrolled hypertension History of seizures or bipolar disorder CNS tumour Alcohol or benzodiazepine withdrawal Current or previous bulimia or anorexia nervosa diagnosis MAOI use within 14 days Long-term opioid use Severe hepatic impairment or endstage renal failure	No apparent increase in CV risk (vs lorcaserin) Improvements in cardiometabolic risk factors including waist circumference (including patients with type 2 diabetes), triglycerides, HDL-C and LDL-C/HDL-C ratio (vs placebo)
Orlistat	Inhibited fat absorption	Gastrointestinal disorders which are very common: Oily spotting Flatus with discharge Faecal urgency Fatty oily stool Oily evacuation Flatulence Soft stools	Hypersensitivity to the active substance or to any of the formulation Concurrent treatment with ciclosporin Chronic malabsorption syndrome Cholestasis Pregnancy Breast-feeding Concurrent treatment with warfarin or other oral anticoagulants	Lower rates of (vs placebo): Overall MACE New-onset heart failure Renal failure Mortality



Table, Continued

Phentermine/ topiramate • Appetite suppression • Increased satiety • Dry mouth • Paraesthesia • Constipation	Hypersensitivity to the active substance(s), to any other sympathomimetic amine, or to any of the formulation excipients Pregnancy and in women who may become pregnant, who do not use safe methods of contraception MAOI use within 14 days With other medicines intended for weight loss.	No apparent increased risk of MACE Decreased blood pressure (vs placebo)
--	--	--

CNS=central nervous system; CV=cardiovascular; CVE=cardiovascular event; ER=extended release; HDL-C=high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LEPR=Leptin receptor deficiency; MAOI=monoamine oxidase inhibitor; MACE=major adverse cardiovascular events; MEN=multiple endocrine neoplasia; MI=myocardial infarction; PCSK1=Proprotein convertase 1; POMC=proopiomelanocortin

CV outcomes with the MC4R agonist, setmelanotide have been extensively assessed. In a systematic review and meta-analysis of 12 studies including 376 patients, no significant adverse CV effects including on blood pressure were identified while patients were on treatment.15 Additionally, slight reductions from baseline in low-density lipoproteins cholesterol (-8.0%) and triglycerides (-16.4%), and increases in high-density lipoproteins cholesterol (+14.1%) were noted.14 Although the study did not specifically measure the incidence of MACE, no clinically significant CV complications were reported during the follow-up period of up to 52 weeks across studies.15

The fixed-dose NB-ER was assessed for CV outcomes in individuals with obesity at increased CV risk in the LIGHT trial, although early termination of the trial prevented assessment of non-inferiority at pre-specified thresholds. More recently, a systematic review and meta-analysis of 12 randomised controlled trials, indicated no apparent increased incidence of MACE compared with placebo. Similarly, the real-world retrospective Cardiovascular Health Outcomes Analysis of 24,600 patients, with an average follow-up of 1700 days, demonstrated no statistically significant difference in the rate of MACE between NB-ER and the appetite suppressant, lorcaserin. These findings are also consistent with >10 years of post-authorisation safety monitoring in over 600,000 patient-years of NB-ER exposure globally. The Phase IV INFORMUS trial of patients with obesity and at increased risk of adverse CV outcomes will further investigate CV outcomes during real-world use of NB-ER.

Finally, in patients with obesity, a retrospective, propensity-score matched study, found that the gastric and pancreatic lipase inhibitor, orlistat was associated with lower rates of overall MACE, new-onset heart failure, renal failure, and mortality compared with those not receiving orlistat.²¹ The CV effect of the dual agents, phentermine/topiramate, have been investigated in both real-world and clinical studies^{22,23} In a real-world retrospective study of 14,586 current and former uses of phentermine/topiramate, no statistically significant increase in the risk of MACE was found in current users of the treatment.²³ Similarly, a randomised clinical trial of phentermine/topiramate indicated a reduction from baseline in blood pressure compared with placebo.²²

Together, these results suggest that all currently available anti-obesity treatments do not increase the risk of adverse CV outcomes and in many cases, may improve outcomes.

The need for personalised treatment in obesity

Patient goals are central to treatment outcomes, and can increase the proportion of patients achieving and maintaining healthy weight loss.²⁴ Furthermore, a study evaluating the perspective of patients with obesity on personalised medicine, revealed that this approach has the potential to empower patients and reduce stigma.²⁵ An additional consideration is that some patients prefer oral treatments over injections due to their convenience and ease of administration.²⁶⁻²⁸ Therefore, treatment goals should be personalised based on clinical efficacy and patient needs, including preference for method of administration, body composition and comorbidities.^{29,30}



A range of treatment options including therapeutic nutrition and movement, psychological and behavioural interventions, bariatric surgery when indicated, and pharmacotherapy allow treatment choices to be orientated around patient treatment goals using a comprehensive, multi-disciplinary strategy. Additionally, as early treatment responses are indicative of longer-term responses and are difficult to predict before treatment is initiated, multiple options are required for patients who do not respond to particular treatments.

Treatment approaches

Obesity treatment approaches should be interdisciplinary, involving healthcare professionals across multiple specialties to address the diverse phenotypes of the condition to maximise effectiveness.³³ Additionally, a comprehensive, patient-centred treatment plan must consider factors such as comorbidities, obesity severity, and patient preferences.³³ However, the current approach to anti-obesity pharmacological treatment limits access to patients being treated in secondary care, a particular problem given the large number of patients with obesity and limited number of specialist physicians to treat them.³³

Given the current undertreatment of obesity and acceptable benefit-risk profile of available anti-obesity pharmacological treatments, there is a need to expand obesity management in the primary care setting.³³ This reflects guideline recommendations for treating obesity as a chronic disease,³⁴ and the model used for the treatment of other diseases such as hypertension, where prescribing is done by primary care physicians. Overall, personalising obesity treatment pathways based on patient needs may promote better long-term success in weight management and adherence.

Summary

The burden of obesity continues to grow, emphasising the need for a range of pharmacological treatment options to address the problem. Current anti-obesity pharmacological treatments have demonstrated a range of benefits in patients including weight loss, maintenance of weight loss, improved metabolic parameters, the promotion of satiety, and increased energy suppression, with no apparent adverse effect on CV outcomes. Considering the importance of personalised care to achieving obesity management goals, the full range medication options, including all available medications, are required to meet patient needs.

This report was developed as part of the touchINSIGHT activity, Shaping obesity care with evidence-based, personalised treatments. To view the full touchINSIGHT activity, which also includes an interview, please visit: https://www.touchendocrinology.com/obesity/learning-zone/shaping-obesity-care-with-evidence-based-personalised-treatments/

Acknowledgements: Medical writing support was provided by Alex Lowe for Touch Medical Communications (TMC).

Sponsored by: The touchINSIGHT activity has been funded by Currax, who provided financial support and has had input into the selection of the faculty and the detailed project scope. This activity is provided by Touch Medical Communications (TMC) for touchENDOCRINOLOGY.

MYS-0373-001 12/24

Date of preparation: December 2024



References

- World Health Organization. WHO European Regional Obesity Report 2022. Available at: https://iris.who.int/bitstream/handle/10665/353747/9789289057738-eng.pdf (accessed December 2024).
- Fulton M DS, Srinivasan VN. Obesity, Stigma, and Discrimination. [Updated 2023 Oct 26]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024 Jan-. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK554571/.
- European Medinces Agency. Saxenda Summary of Product Characteristics. Available at: https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/saxenda-epar-<u>product-information_en.pdf</u> (accessed December 2024). European Medinces Agency. Wegovy Summary of Product Characteristics. Available
- at: https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/wegovy-epar-product-information_en.pdf (accessed December 2024).
- European Medinces Agency. Mounjaro Summary of Product Characteristics. Avaliable at: https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/mounjaro-epar-<u>product-information_en.pdf</u> (accessed December 2024). European Medinces Agency. Imcivree Summary of Product Characteristics. Available
- at: https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/improduct-information_en.pdf (accessed December 2024).
- European Medinces Agency. Mysimba Summary of Product Characteristics. Avaliable at: https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/mysimba-epar-
- product-information_en.pdf (accessed December 2024).
 European Medinces Agency. alli Summary of Product Characteristics. Avaliable at: https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/alli-epar-product-information_en.pdf (accessed December 2024).
- Global Newswire. VIVUS Announces Commercialization of QSIVA® in Sweden, Denmark, Finland, Iceland, Norway, and Poland. Available at: https://www.globenewswire.com/ news-release/2024/10/07/2958960/0/en/VIVUS-Announces-Commercialization-of-QSIVA-in-Sweden-Denmark-Finland-Iceland-Norway-and-Poland.html (accessed
- December 2024). Lincoff AM, Brown-Frandsen K, Colhoun HM, et al. Semaglutide and Cardiovascular
- Outcomes in Obesity without Diabetes. N Engl J Med 2023; 389(24): 2221-32. Marso SP, Bain SC, Consoli A, et al. Semaglutide and Cardiovascular Outcomes in
- Patients with Type 2 Diabetes. N Engl J Med 2016; 375(19): 1834-44.

 Marso SP, Daniels GH, Brown-Frandsen K, et al. Liraglutide and Cardiovascular Outcomes in Type 2 Diabetes. N Engl J Med 2016; 375(4): 311-22.

 Jastreboff AM, Aronne LJ, Ahmad NN, et al. Tirzepatide Once Weekly for the Treatment of Obesity. N Engl J Med 2022; 387(3): 205-16.

 Clinicaltrials.gov. A Study of Tirzepatide (LY3298176) on the Reduction on Morbidity and Mortalthia. Adults With Obesity (LINMANINTAMA). Available at https://olinicaltrials.gov/
- Mortality in Adults With Obesity (SURMOUNT-MMO). Available at: https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT05556512 (accessed December 2024).
- Ferraz Barbosa B, Aquino de Moraes FC, Bordignon Barbosa C, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Setmelanotide, a Melanocortin-4 Receptor Agonist, for Obese Patients: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J Pers Med* 2023; 13(10).

 Nissen SE, Wolski KE, Prcela L, et al. Effect of Naltrexone-Bupropion on Major Adverse
- Cardiovascular Events in Overweight and Obese Patients With Cardiovascular Risk Factors: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA* 2016; 315(10): 990-1004.
- Sposito AC, Bonilha I, Luchiari B, et al. Cardiovascular safety of naltrexone and bupropion therapy: Systematic review and meta-analyses. Obes Rev 2021; 22(6): e13224.
- Burns et al. Evaluating the Cardiovascular Safety of Naltrexone/Bupropion in the United States, . International Society of Pharmacoepidemiology (ISPE) Annual Meeting. Berlin, Germany.

- Curraxpharma.com. Currax Pharmaceuticals: CONTRAVE*/MYSIMBA* demonstrates no increased risk in major adverse cardiac events in a large, long-term real-world evidence study. Available at: https://www.curraxpharma.com/press-releases/curraxpharmaceuticals-contrave-mysimba-demonstrates-no-increased-risk-in-majoradverse-cardiac-events-in-a-large-long-term-real-world-evidence-study/ (accessed December 2024).
- Clinicaltrials.gov. Effect of Naltrexone Hydrochloride ER and Bupropion Hydrochloride ER Combination (Contrave*/Mysimba*) on Major Adverse Cardiovascular Events (MACE) (INFORMUS)ClinicalTrials.gov ID NCT06098079. Available at: https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT06098079 (accessed December 2024).
- Ardissino M, Vincent M, Hines O, et al. Long-term cardiovascular outcomes after orlistat therapy in patients with obesity: a nationwide, propensity-score matched cohort study. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Pharmacother 2022; 8(2): 179-86.
 Bays HE, Hsia DS, Nguyen LT, et al. Effects of phentermine / topiramate extended-release,
- phentermine, and placebo on ambulatory blood pressure monitoring in adults with overweight or obesity: A randomized, multicenter, double-blind study. Obes Pillars 2024; 9.100099
- Ritchey ME, Harding A, Hunter S, et al. Cardiovascular Safety During and After Use of
- Phentermine and Topiramate. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2019; 104(2): 513-22.

 Avery A, Langley-Evans SC, Harrington M, et al. Setting targets leads to greater long-term weight losses and 'unrealistic' targets increase the effect in a large community-based commercial weight management group. *J Hum Nutr Diet* 2016; 29(6): 687-96.
- Farrell E, le Roux CW, Hollmann E, et al. Patient perspectives on personalised medicine for obesity: An IMI2 SOPHIA Study. Obes Res Clin Pract 2024; 18(3): 216-21.
- Boye K, Ross M, Mody R, et al. Patients' preferences for once-daily oral versus once-weekly injectable diabetes medications: The REVISE study. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2021; 23(2): 508-19.
- Eek D, Krohe M, Mazar I, et al. Patient-reported preferences for oral versus intravenous administration for the treatment of cancer: a review of the literature. Patient Prefer Adherence 2016; 10: 1609-21.
- Igarashi A, Bekker Hansen B, Langer J, et al. Preference for Oral and Injectable GLP-1 RA Therapy Profiles in Japanese Patients with Type 2 Diabetes: A Discrete Choice Experiment. Adv Ther 2021; 38(1): 721-38.

 Ryan DH, Yockey SR. Weight Loss and Improvement in Comorbidity: Differences at 5%,
- 10%, 15%, and Over. *Curr Obes Rep* 2017; 6(2): 187-94. Jensen MD, Ryan DH, Apovian CM, et al. 2013 AHA/ACC/TOS guideline for the management of overweight and obesity in adults: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and The Obesity Society. Circulation 2014; 129(25 Suppl 2): S102-38. Elmaleh-Sachs A, Schwartz JL, Bramante CT, et al. Obesity Management in Adults: A
- Review, JAMA 2023; 330(20); 2000-15.
- Stotland SC, Larocque M. Early treatment response as a predictor of ongoing weight loss
- in obesity treatment. Br J Health Psychol 2005; 10(Pt 4): 601-14.
 Tucker S, Bramante C, Conroy M, et al. The Most Undertreated Chronic Disease
- Addressing Obesity in Primary Care Settings. Curr Obes Rep 2021; 10(3): 396-408. Semlitsch T, Stigler FL, Jeitler K, et al. Management of overweight and obesity in primary care-A systematic overview of international evidence-based guidelines. Obes Rev 2019;
- Osiva.com. Avaliable at: https://asiva.eu/ (accessed December 2024). Osiva (Phentermine and topiramate modified-release) hard capsules



5