SUPPLEMENTARY TABLES

Supplementary Table S1. Risk of bias assessment

Jastreboff 2022 [4]	Risk of bias	Author Judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Low risk	Randomized, placebo-controlled trial
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	Participants were randomly assigned in a 1:1:1:1 ratio to receive tirzepatide at
		a dose of 5 mg, 10 mg, or 15 mg or placebo
Blinding of participants & personnel (performance bias)	Low risk	Double-blind trial
Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)	Low risk	Double-blind trial
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)	Low risk	Overall, 86.0% of participants completed the primary trial treatment period.
		Missing outcome data balanced in numbers across intervention groups, with
		similar reasons for missing data across groups.
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Low risk	All pre-specified outcomes are reported.
Other biases	High risk	Funding for this study was provided by was funded by Fli Lilly Indianapolis
		Five of authors are employees of the pharmaceutical company
	DII 011	The of additions are employees of the pharmaceutear company.
Wadden 2023 [5]	Risk of bias	Author Judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Low risk	Randomized, placebo-controlled trial
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	Participants were randomly assigned in a 1:1 ratio to receive either the
		maximum tolerated dose of tirzepatide (10 or 15 mg) or placebo. Assignment
		to treatment group was determined by a computer-generated random sequence
		using a validated interactive web-response system.
Blinding of participants & personnel (performance bias)	Low risk	Double-blind trial
Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)	Low risk	Double-blind trial
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)	Low risk	Of the 579 randomized participants, 479 (82.7%) completed the study. Missing
		outcome data balanced in numbers across intervention groups, with similar
		reasons for missing data across groups.
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Low risk	All pre-specified outcomes are reported.
Other biases	High risk	Funding for this study was provided by was funded by Eli Lilly, Indianapolis.
	-	Five of authors are employees of the pharmaceutical company.

touchREVIEWS in Endocrinology. 2024;20(2):Online ahead of journal publication

This supplementary material was peer reviewed with the manuscript but has not been subject to our in-house editing or production processes.